P-ISSN: 2618-0723
E-ISSN: 2618-0731

Impact Factor: RJIF 5.16
www.extensionjournal.com

International Journal of Agriculture Extension and Social Development

Volume 6; Issue 2; Jul-Dec 2023; Page No. 15-18

Received: 10-07-2023
Accepted: 12-08-2023

Indexed Journal
Peer Reviewed Journal

Re-examining the factors influencing the adoption of ICT for agricultural information dissemination in Uganda (Rubanda, Mayuge districts, and Mbarara city)

Willbroad Byamukama[1], Phelix Mbabazi Businge[2] and Dr. Rebecca Kalibwani[3]

[1] Department of Agriculture, Faculty of Agriculture, Environment and Technology, Bishop Stuart University & Faculty of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, Kabale University P. O. Box 317, Kabale, Uganda

[2] Assistant Professor, Faculty of Computing, Library and Information Sciences, Kabale University, P. O. Box 317, Kabale, Uganda

[3]Ph.D., Department of Agriculture, Faculty of Agriculture, Environment and Technology, Bishop Stuart University, P. O. Box, 09, Mbarara, Uganda

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33545/26180723.2023.v6.i2a.187

Abstract
The purpose of this research was to re-examine the factors influencing ICT adoption for agricultural information dissemination in Uganda. The study adopted the descriptive survey design of the ex-post facto type, a structured questionnaire that was subjected to face and content validity and reliability test, and analyzed using descriptive statistics of frequency counts and percentages. Findings revealed that the foremost inhibiting factor influencing the adoption of ICT for agricultural information dissemination was the lack of training and re-trainings. The study recommended that training and re-training in the usage of ICT devices be conducted for the farmers from time to time such that they become conversant with all the required and necessary devices and able to take advantage of the entire alternative device as each device is uniquely difference, serving uniquely different purposes.

Keywords: Adoption, ICT, Information dissemination, Uganda

Introduction

The seemingly influencing factors in accessing agricultural information in Kenya's exploitation of some ICT channels. The study utilized a scientific sampling technique, an interview, and a chi-square technique was used to test the hypothesis (Maina *et al.* 2021) [7]. The study disclosed that radio was used among the farmers at age forty and higher and with low formal education, whereas farmers at age below forty most preferred TV, Mobile Phones, and Computers. However, the study reveals that most farmers were exploiting their smartphones for chatting instead of profitable agricultural activities. It had been conjointly disclosed that farmers who possessed education used their mobile phones for vital activities like receiving and retrieving agricultural information. The main gap during this study is the lack of awareness of the relevance and use of mobile phones particularly smartphones for agricultural information. Additional studies ought to investigate however how ICT tools may be promoted among farmers. Lack of awareness and education attainment is among the main attributes limiting smallholder farmers once it involves the adoption and usage of ICT for development in rural areas.

Naik *et al.* (2021) [8] studied the dissemination of agricultural information using ICTs. The study utilized a structured questionnaire and interviews were employed to gather relevant information among the selected farmers. (Armstrong & Gandhi, 2012) [2] believed that the key stakeholders claimed that there ought to be an improvement in information delivery. For this reason, there ought to be a lot of studies on how agricultural information dissemination using ICT is effectively delivered to the end-users which means that extension professionals have to be compelled to answer the task ahead by collaborating with key stakeholders to produce effective agricultural information to the end-users.

To and Trinh (2021) [12] state that, though there's perceived appropriateness of ICTs in African countries and Vietnam, a broad variety of factors are known for inhibiting the widespread introduction and use of the new technologies. These factors embody cognitive content concerning the importance of and want for ICTs that makes even those made enough to acquire them indifferent to ICTs; general impoverishment that results in the perception of computers, as an example, as foreign and luxury acquisitions; When equipment crashes down, spare parts and technical experts from the manufacturers are imported, which is a poor maintenance and repair culture, it wastes resources, time, and money. Poverty leads persons to perceive computers, for instance, as foreign and costly expenditures. (Chavula, 2014) [4] states different factors such as poor infrastructural support base; examples embody inefficient electricity and telephone systems; lack of support from the government resulting in under funding of science and technology

programs in tertiary institutions; illiteracy and lack of basic computing skills; the absence of a culture of democracy, this feeds political unrest and also the temperament of foreign investors to speculate within the space of ICTs; and perception of the technologies (Example, computer) as a standing image or statement of one's hierarchy in society.

Despite the high potential of ICTs in rising small-scale agriculture in Africa, there is a low usage pattern and unreliable adoption. This case is especially a result of ICT initiatives that are scattered and uncoordinated. Consequently, there's a high price of obtainable technologies, inadequate infrastructure and ICT skills, poor and high-priced property, inappropriate ICT policies, language barriers, the high price of ICTs and telecommunication, low information measure, inadequate and/or inappropriate credit facilities and systems. Further, there's poor involvement of women and alternative deprived teams, inadequate acceptable content, weak establishments, inadequate collaboration and awareness of existing ICT facilities and resources, poor information-sharing culture, and low awareness of the role of ICTs in development in the slightest degree levels Ogutu *et al.* (2014) [9].

According to Awojide & Akintelu (2018) [3], geographical location plays an important role in the determination of communications costs and practicality. In rural communities, where there is a sparse population implies that potential users sleep in a section of low demand density, communications prices are higher and services are less well developed. This can be because of what's called the social science of networks and therefore ICT in rural areas costs more as a result of the association from one line to the other, and since it's also impossible to realize economies of scale in switching. The results of this will be seen within the penetration of ICT into rural areas while not a whole indicator, tele-densities (the range of mainlines per one thousand people) provide some plan of the extent to which this may be a problem for farmers in rural Africa once employed in combination with mobile phone densities (Mobile phones per one thousand people).

The use of ICT needs a positive attitude from the actors as emphasized and proved by many previous studies (Levi *et al.*, 2015) [6]. Referring to attitudes, Chavula (2014) [4] states that it represents a mental and neural state of readiness that is organized through expertise. It exerts a directive or dynamic influence upon the individual's response to any or all objects and things with that it's connected with. For Rodrigues & Rodríguez (2013) [11], attitude will be shaped according to a human degree of like or dislike towards one thing. The perceived usefulness is crucial for constructing a positive attitude towards ICT usage. Once communities understand that ICT is beneficial, it'll produce a positive perspective toward ICT usage (Chhachhar *et al.* 2014) [5]. According to Rodrigues & Rodríguez (2013) [11], the perceived usefulness should be persistent. For ICT to be perceived as helpful it should be a low price to reach a wider market, and be able to gather massive data within a brief time.

Aker *et al.*, (2016) [1] urged within the results of their study that the foremost vital limiting factor that affects the utilization of ICT in agriculture is the cost of technology. Lack of training and therefore the inability of farmers to use ICT is the second issue that affects usage. The other is; trust level within the ICT system; lack of technological infrastructure and lack of ICT proficiency is the third level category that affects the utilization of ICT in agriculture. Thus, in general, to confirm the effectiveness of ICT, the agricultural community particularly their leaders should have a positive attitude toward ICT usage.

The researcher observed that there are some notable factors influencing the adoption of ICT for agricultural information dissemination in Rubanda District, Mayuge District, and Mbarara City that necessitates the majority of the farmers not to have access to effective agricultural information and such factors had been left unchecked and the farmers are so denied access to agricultural enhancing information affecting their chances of finding out and apply improved and economical agronomic practices that can improve productivity and household food security. Thus a need to Re-examine the factors influencing the adoption of ICT for Agricultural Information Dissemination in Uganda namely Rubanda, Mayuge Districts, and Mbarara City.

Methodology

The study adopted a cross-sectional design of survey research and the instrument for this study consisted of structured questionnaires and key informant interviews. The questionnaire was subjected to face and content validity and reliability test. Data collected were analyzed using descriptive statistics of frequency counts, percentages, mean and standard deviation with ranking means score. The target population of this study comprised all farmers in the Rubanda District, Mayuge District, and Mbarara City and 374 farmers were randomly selected and made to participate in the study. Copies of the questionnaire were distributed to them and interviews conducted and collected for analysis after they were completed.

Results and Discussions

The results in Table 1 reveal the factors influencing the adoption of ICT for agricultural information dissemination in Rubanda district, Mayuge district, and Mbarara City. A simple majority of the respondents (54.5%) strongly agreed and agreed that lack of training, low awareness of the roles of ICT (54.5%), not enough time to spend on technology (54.3%), perception and want to use ICT (53.7%), do not understand the value of ICT (53.7%), level of education (52.9%), lack of technological infrastructure (52.1%), the inability of farmers to use ICT tools (51.8%), cost of technology (51.6%), low usage and unreliable adoption (50.8%), availability of ICT tools (48.1%), poor information sharing culture (42%), age (38.5%), geographical location (35.8%), lack of collaboration (32.9%) are all the factors inhibiting the adoption of ICT for agricultural dissemination in Rubanda district, Mayuge district and Mbarara City except gender (30.5%) and land and agricultural policies (30.5%). These results conform with the study by Rashid et al., (2016) [10] who stated that the significant factor to empower farmers was the use of e-agriculture which contributed to 84% of the total empowerment and recommended governments implement and invest in e-agriculture projects on a massive scale.

Table 1: Showing the factors influencing the adoption of ICT for agricultural information dissemination in Rubanda District, Mayuge District, and Mbarara City

No.	Influencing factors	SD	D	N	A	SA
1.	The inability of farmers to use ICT Tools	26 7.0%	29 7.8%	24 6.4%	193 51.8%	100 26.7%
2.	Age	24 6.4%	76 20.6%	55 14.7%	144 38.5%	75 20.1%
3.	Lack of technological infrastructure	20 5.3%	35 9.4%	27 7.2%	195 52.1%	97 25.9%
4.	Cost of technology	23 6.1%	27 7.2%	22 5.9%	193 51.6%	109 29.1%
5.	Not enough time to spend on Technology	23 6.1%	39 10.4%	38 10.2%	203 54.3%	70 18.7%
6.	Do not understand the value of ICT	20 5.3%	41 11.0%	34 9.1%	201 53.7%	78 20.9%
7.	Lack of training	16 4.3%	31 8.3%	24 6.4%	204 54.5%	98 26.2
8.	Geographical location	19 5.1%	83 22.2%	71 19.0%	134 35.8%	67 17.9%
9.	Poor information-sharing culture	14 3.7%	65 17.4%	63 16.8%	157 42.0%	75 20.1%
10.	Low awareness of the roles of ICT	17 4.5%	34 9.1%	43 11.5%	204 54.5%	76 20.3%
11.	Low usage and unreliable adoption	11 2.9%	41 11.0%	60 16.0%	190 50.8%	72 19.3%
12.	Perception and want to use ICT	13 3.5%	35 7.4%	59 15.8%	201 53.7%	66 17.0%
13.	Level of education	12 3.2%	30 8.0%	29 7.8%	198 52.9%	105 28.1%
14.	Lack of collaboration	19 5.1%	61 16.3%	118 31.6%	123 32.9%	53 14.2%
15.	Gender	28 7.5%	114 30.5%	73 19.5%	98 25.7%	63 16.8%
16.	Land and agricultural policies	35 9.4%	114 30.5%	96 25.7%	76 20.3%	53 14.2%
17.	Availability of ICT tools	16 4.3%	38 10.2%	63 16.8%	180 48.1%	77 20.6%

***Source*:** Field Survey, 2022

Table 2: Responses on factors influencing the adoption of ICT for agricultural information dissemination in Rubanda District, Mayuge District, and Mbarara City

Items	Mean	Std. Dev.	Interpretation	Ranking
The inability of farmers to use ICT Tools	3.84	1.119	High influence	5
Age	3.45	1.202	High influence	13
Lack of technological infrastructure	3.84	1.079	High influence	4
Cost of technology	3.90	1.089	High influence	3
Not enough time to spend on Technology	3.69	1.082	High influence	11
Do not understand the value of ICT	3.74	1.074	High influence	7
Lack of training	3.90	1.019	High influence	2
Geographical location	3.39	1.162	Moderate Influence	14
Poor information-sharing culture	3.57	1.105	High influence	12
Low awareness of the roles of ICT	3.77	1.020	High influence	6
Low usage and unreliable adoption	3.72	.991	High influence	9
Perception and want to use ICT	3.73	.974	High influence	8
Level of education	3.95	.984	High influence	1
Lack of collaboration	3.35	1.070	Moderate Influence	15
Gender	3.14	1.233	Moderate Influence	16
Land and agricultural policies	2.99	1.205	Moderate Influence	17
Availability of ICT tools	3.71	1.040	High influence	10

***Source*:** Field Survey, 2022

Results in Table above show the means ranking of responses for the factors influencing the adoption of ICT for agricultural information dissemination in Rubanda District, Mayuge District, and Mbarara City. It is observed that among the factors, the level of education (Mean of 3.95) is the most prominent factor. This is followed by lack of training (Mean of 3.90), followed by the cost of technology (Mean of 3.90), followed by lack of technological infrastructure (Mean of 3.84). This is followed by the inability of farmers to use ICT tools (Mean of 3.84), followed by low awareness of the roles of ICT (Mean of 3.77), followed by perception and want to use ICT (Mean of 3.73). The least factor of influence in the adoption of ICT for agricultural information and communication dissemination is the land and agricultural policies (mean of 2.99).

Furthermore, findings show

1. Married people are more settled and stable, they tend to have equipped the home with some electronics among which is television unlike their singles counterparts who are less settled and less stable and often time do not have a television set.
2. That the younger farmers who were mostly singles were vaster in ICT-related skills and more comfortable with the use of computers for agricultural information.
3. The implication of this is that the younger farmers who were mostly singles were more comfortable with the use of the mobile phone for agricultural information.
4. That the highly educated farmers were more comfortable with the use of Television for agricultural information than their counterparts.
5. That the most educated farmers were vaster in ICT-related skills and more comfortable with the use of computers for agricultural information.
6. That the well-educated farmers were also having better use of mobile phones resulting from their vastness in ICT-related skills and can comfortably use mobile phones for agricultural information.
7. That it's obvious that the majority of the farmers do not

know how to operate the computer system. Secondly, the financial implication of having a personal computer may be on the high side for many poor rural farmers.
8. That middle-aged to older farmers were more comfortable with the use of Television for agricultural information
9. That the middle-aged to the younger farmers were more comfortable with the use of computers for agricultural information.
10. Middle-aged farmers to older farmers seem to be having better usage of mobile phones for agricultural purposes than the young ones who use them for social media purposes.
11. That the farmers with average to higher monthly income seem to be more comfortable with television for catching up with agricultural information than their low monthly income counterparts.
12. That the farmers with average to higher monthly income seem to be more conversant with computers for assessing agricultural information than their low monthly income counterparts and,
13. That the farmers with average to higher monthly incomes seem to be more comfortable with the mobile phone for catching up with agricultural information.

Conclusion and Recommendations

Finding shows that the foremost inhibiting factor influencing the adoption of ICT for agricultural information dissemination in Rubanda district, Mayuge district, and Mbarara city is the lack of training. It is recommended that training and re-training in the usage of ICT devices be conducted for the farmers from time to time such that they become conversant with all the required and necessary devices and able to take advantage of the entire alternative device as each device is uniquely difference, serving uniquely different purposes.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest and affirm that the study was self-sponsored.

References

1. Aker JC, Ghosh I, Burrell J. The promise (And pitfalls) of ICT for agriculture initiatives. Agricultural Economics. 2016;47(S1):35-48.
2. Armstrong L, Gandhi N. Factors Influencing The Use Of Information And Communication Technology (ICT) Tools By The Rural Farmers In Ratnagiri District Of Maharashtra India. Proceedings of the Third National Conference on Agro-Informatics and Precision Agriculture; c2012. p. 58-63.
3. Awojide S, Akintelu SO. Empirical Investigation of factors affecting information and communication technologies (ICTS) in Agric-Business among small scale farmers in Esan Community, Edo State, Nigeria. Journal of Research in Marketing. 2018;9(1):713-722.
4. Chavula HK. The role of ICTs in agricultural production in Africa. Journal of Development and Agricultural Economics. 2014;6(7):279-289.
5. Chhachhar AR, Qureshi B, Khushk GM, Ahmed S. Impact of information and communication technologies in agriculture development. Journal of Basic and Applied Scientific Research. 2014;4(1):281-288.
6. Levi C, Kyazze BF, Sseguya H. Effectiveness of information communication technologies in dissemination of agricultural information to smallholder farmers in Kilosa district, Tanzania [Ph.D Thesis]. Dissertation for Award of MSc Degree at Makerere University, Uganda; c2015. p. 81.
7. Maina CM, Nyang'anga HT, Mburu JI, Kasina JM, Guantai MM, Nderitu JH, *et al*. Determinants influencing farmers' use of macadamia nut information sources in central Kenya. Journal of Agriculture and Food Research, 2021, 100184.
8. Naik BJ, Rao BM, Rambabu P, Rekha MS. A Study on Profile of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) Tools Usage Farmers of Anantapur District of Andhra Pradesh; c2021.
9. Ogutu SO, Okello JJ, Otieno DJ. Impact of information and communication technology-based market information services on smallholder farm input use and productivity: The case of Kenya. World Development. 2014;64:311-321.
10. Rashid SMM, Islam MR, Quamruzzaman M. Which factor contribute most to empower farmers through e-Agriculture in Bangladesh? Springer Plus. 2016;5(1):1-14.
11. Rodrigues M, Dos S, Rodríguez AG. Information and communication technologies for agricultural development in Latin America: Trends, barriers and policies; c2013.
12. To AT, Trinh THM. Understanding behavioral intention to use mobile wallets in Vietnam: Extending the tam model with trust and enjoyment. Cogent Business & Management. 2021;8(1):1891661.